

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America,

Plaintiff,

v.

David S. Dukes,

Defendant.

Case: 4:21-cr-20651

Judge: Leitman, Matthew F.

MJ: Ivy, Curtis Filed: 10-20-2021

SEALED MATTER (tt)

Violations:

18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1)

**INDICTMENT** 

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FLINT, MICHIGAN

## Count One 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) Felon in Possession of a firearm

1. On or about August 17, 2021, in the Eastern District of Michigan, defendant, David Dukes, after having been convicted of a crime punishable by a term of imprisonment exceeding one year, a felony offense, and knowing that he had been previously convicted of a crime punishable by a term of imprisonment exceeding one year, knowingly and unlawfully possessed, in and affecting interstate commerce, a firearm, that is, a Sturm, Ruger & Co., model SR22, .22 caliber, semi-automatic pistol.

All in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1).

#### **FORFEITURE ALLEGATION**

- 2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Cr. P. 32.2(a), the government hereby provides notice to the defendant of its intention to seek forfeiture of all proceeds, direct or indirect, or property traceable thereto, all property that facilitated the commission of the violations alleged, or property traceable thereto, and all property involved in, or property traceable thereto, of the violations set for in this Indictment, including but not limited to a Sturm, Ruger & Co., model SR22, .22 caliber, semi-automatic pistol bearing serial number 36694035, and ammunition.
- 3. <u>Substitute Assets</u>: If the property described above as being subject to forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of defendant:
  - a. Cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - b. Has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
  - c. Has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
  - d. Has been substantially diminished in value; or
  - e. Has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty;

the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

# THIS IS A TRUE BILL s/Grand Jury Foreperson GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

SAIMA S. MOHSIN Acting United States Attorney

<u>s/Anthony P. Vance</u>ANTHONY VANCEChief, Branch Offices

s/Blaine Longsworth

BLAINE LONGSWORTH
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: October 20, 2021

#### Case 4:21-cr-20651-MFL-CI ECF No. 1, PageID.4 Filed 10/20/21 Page 4 of 4

**United States District Court** 

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan			Case Number:
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. Attor	ney signing this form to compl	ete it accurately in all respects	
Companion Case Information		Companion Case Number:	
This may be a companion case based on	LCrR 57.10(b)(4) <sup>1</sup> :		
□Yes ☑No		AUSA's Initials: BL	
Case Title: USA v. <u>David</u> County where offense occur Offense Type: Felony	red: <u>Genesee</u>	Case: 4:21-o Judge: Leitm MJ: Ivy, Curt Filed: 10-20- SEALED MA	nan, Matthew F. is 2021
Superseding Case Information	on .		
Superseding to Case No:		Judge:	
Reason:			
<u>Defendant Name</u>	<u>Charges</u>		Prior Complaint (if applicable)
Please take notice that the below the above captioned case	listed Assistant (	Jnited States Attor	ney is the attorney of record for
October 20, 2021		s/Blaine Longsworth	
Date		Blaine Longsworth Assistant United States Attorney 600 Church Street Flint, MI 48502 blaine longsworth@usdoi.gov	

(810) 766-5177

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.